TENNESSEE GENERAL ASSEMBLY FISCAL REVIEW COMMITTEE



FISCAL NOTE

SB 1043 - HB 1415

March 9, 2019

SUMMARY OF BILL: Prohibits a law enforcement officer from seizing or destroying a person's photograph or recording of an event or person, if legal and the photographer is in a location the person has a right to be when photographing or recording. Authorizes law enforcement to petition the court for a copy of a photograph or video if such photograph or recording contains evidence that a crime has been committed. Requires the court to have an expert in electronic devices who is not associated with law enforcement copy the photograph or video form the device in which they are located. Creates a civil cause on action for a person whose electronic device was damaged in accordance with the provisions of the legislation.

ESTIMATED FISCAL IMPACT:

Increase State Expenditures – Exceeds \$10,000

Increase Local Expenditures – Exceeds \$20,000*

Assumptions:

- The Department of Safety (DOS) confirms updating departmental policies and procedures to conform to the provisions of the legislation can be executed within existing resources.
- Local police agencies are expected to update departmental policies and procedures to conform to the provisions of the legislation which can be executed within existing resources without any significant additional expenditure.
- For court proceedings, an expert is someone particularly skilled, learned, or experienced in a science, art, trade, business, profession, or vocation, a thorough knowledge of which is not within the scope of the common knowledge and experience of the average person.
- In Tennessee, parties are generally responsible for the fees of their own experts. However, a prevailing party is entitled to recover of certain costs, including some reasonable expert fees. The proposed legislation requires the court to provide an expert not associated with law enforcement.
- The proposed legislation will require courts to provide and compensate experts for proceedings initiated under the proposed legislation.
- Based on information provided by the AOC, an electronics expert is estimated to cost \$200 per hour of service.

- For purposes of the fiscal note, it is expected an electronics expert will spend five billable hours on each case.
- The number of times an expert will be required by the courts to copy a photograph or video is unknown; however, it is reasonably estimated that the state courts will require an expert a minimum of 10 times per year, and local courts will require an expert a minimum of 20 times a year.
- The recurring increase in state expenditures is estimated to exceed \$10,000 (\$200 per hour x 5 hours x 10 cases).
- The mandatory recurring increase in local court expenditures is estimated to exceed \$20,000 (\$200 per hour x 5 hours x 20 cases).
- Any additional petitions to the courts are expected to be executed within existing resources without any significant additional expenditures.
- It is estimated that state and local law enforcement agencies will not experience a significant reduction in electronics related expenditures.
- It is reasonably estimated that an electronics expert will not damage or destroy an electronics device; therefore, any fiscal impact related to creating of the civil cause of action is considered not significant.

CERTIFICATION:

The information contained herein is true and correct to the best of my knowledge.

Krista Lee Carsner, Executive Director

Kista La Caroner

/jmg

^{*}Article II, Section 24 of the Tennessee Constitution provides that: no law of general application shall impose increased expenditure requirements on cities or counties unless the General Assembly shall provide that the state share in the cost.